# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

				, - · - · - · - · -				
	-	gent's file referer  GI-FRI		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No.				International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/		Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2004/006962				28.06.200	4	23.07.2003		
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  F16H57/04							
Applic	Applicant							
ZF	FRIE	DRICHSH	AFEN A	<b>-</b>				
1.	<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>							
2.	This R	EPORT consists	of a total of	9	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.		
3.	This re	eport is also acco	ompanied by A	NNEXES, comprising				
	Г	i				charte as Callania		
	a. L_					sheets, as follows: mended and are the basis for this report and/or		
		sheet				le 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative		
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental							
	Box.  b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))							
ļ	-			-				
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This =			ng to the following ite	ms:			
•	_	por comains III	areations reidli	to the following fie				
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	report				
		Box No. II	Priority					
		Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion wit	h regard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability		
	$\square$			ty of invention				
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$			atement under Article 25(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 25(2) with regard to novelly, inventive step or industrial applicable citations and explanations supporting such statement				Y. The state of th			
	Box No. VI Certain docum			nments cited				
		Box No. VII	Certain def	ects in the internationa	l application			
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand					Date of completion of the	is report		
						-		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP					Authorized officer			
Facein	nile No.				Telephone No.			
Lacsin	ш1С MO.				Leichione Mo.			

Translation

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006962

Box	No. I Basis of the report	
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the internation indicated under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise
	This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purp international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))	ge into the following language, osses of:
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4	
	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/	or 55.3)
2.	receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 arthis report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished	report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the e referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to
	the description:	
	pages <u>1-12</u>	
		received by this Authority on
	pages*	received by this Authority on
	the claims:	
	nos. 1–13	as originally filed/furnished
	nos.*	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	nos.*	received by this Authority on
	nos.*	
	the drawings:	
	<u> </u>	as originally filed/furnished
		received by this Authority on
		received by this Authority on
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplem	mental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pages	
	the claims, nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	the sequence listing (specify):	
	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.		dments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	the description, pages	
*	If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	perseded."

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006962

_			
Box	x No. I	IV Lack of unity of invention	
1.		In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:  restricted the claims.	
		paid additional fees.	
		paid additional fees under protest.	
		neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.	
2.	$\boxtimes$	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite
3.	This	is Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.	1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
		complied with.	
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the following reasons:	
		See Supplemental Box.	
4.	Con	insequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the internation	al application:
	$\boxtimes$	all parts.	
		the parts relating to claims Nos.	
ı			<del> </del>

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006962

						21/EP200	
ox No. V Reasoned statement citations and expla	nt under Ar mations sup	ticle 35(2) w porting sucl	ith regard to h statement	novelty, inventiv	ve step or i	ndustrial applica	ability;
Statement							
Novelty (N)	Claims						YE
• • •	Claims	1-6,		<del></del>			NO
	Ciailis						
Inventive step (IS)	Claims						YE
	Claims	1-6,	7-13				NC
Industrial applicability (IA)		1-13					
muosiriai appricaonity (123)							
	Claims						NO
Citations and explanations (Rule	70.7)					·	
• `	•						
See Supplementa	1 Box	•					

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006962

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported the description, are made:				
See	Supplemental Box.			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006962

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

Continuation of Boxes IV, V and VIII

#### 1. PRIOR ART

This report refers to the following documents:

- D1: DE 199 34 405 A (DAIMLER CHRYSLER AG), 8 February 2001 (2001-02-08)
- D2: EP 1 316 746 A (ZAHNRADFABRIK FRIEDRICHSHAFEN),
  4 June 2003 (2003-06-04)
- D3: DE 38 03 685 A (EMITEC EMISSIONSTECHNIK), 17 August 1989 (1989-08-17)
- D4: DE 37 43 195 C (PIV ANTRIEB REIMERS KG WERNER), 9 February 1989 (1989-02-09)
- D5: EP 0 362 043 A (RENAULT), 4 April 1990 (1990-04-04)
- D6: US 5 341 901 A (COFFEY WAYNE R ET AL), 30 August 1994 (1994-08-30)
- D7: US 5 667 036 A (DHILLON JERMANJIT S ET AL), 16 September 1997 (1997-09-16)

### 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The application fails to meet the requirements of **PCT Article 33(1)** because the subject matter of claim 1 is not novel (**PCT Article 33(2)**).

Essentially, document D1 discloses the following (see, for example, figures 4a and 4b):

Supplemental Box

- an oil-carrying (see the abstract, line 3)
  shaft (2), with
- an inner chamber (3),
- oil-carrying open passages (39) on the inside wall (24),
- a tube acting as a distribution means (4).

## 3. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 7

The application fails to meet the requirements of **PCT Article 33(1)** because the subject matter of claim 7 is not novel (**PCT Article 33(2)**).

- 3.1 Essentially, document D1 discloses the following (see,
   for example, figures 2a and 2b):
  - an oil-carrying (see the abstract, line 3)
    shaft (2), with
  - an inner chamber (3),
  - oil-carrying open passages (39) on the inside wall (24),
  - a tube acting as a distribution means (4).
- 3.2 Similar shafts are also known from document D2 (see figure 2) and document D3 (see figure 1, II-II).

With reference to the objection relating to clarity discussed in point 6.1 below, the term "tube", according to the applicant's own interpretation, also covers "solid profiles" (see claim 11). Consequently documents D4 (for example, item 53 in figure 5), D5

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006962

Supplemental Box

(figure 2) and even D6 ("rotor 58") can be considered prejudicial to the novelty of claim 7.

(Note: Documents D7, D8 and D9 will be relevant for the assessment of inventive step at a later stage in the procedure.)

#### 4. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-6 AND 8-13

Claims 2 to 6 and 8 to 13 do not contain any features that meet the PCT requirements in respect of **novelty** and/or inventive step when combined with the features of any of the back-referenced claims.

For example, document D1 is relevant to claim 3 (column 8, line 28 - rotary swaging), to claim 4 (inner grooves 42), to claim 5 (figure 5c), to claim 6 (figure 5b), to claims 8 and 13 (drill hole 51), to claim 9 (left-hand part of figure 5a), and to claims 10 and 11 (rectangular-section hollow profile 6 in figure 2c). The medium duct 35 in figure 1 is relevant to claim 12.

## 5. <u>UNITY</u>

The Examining Authority has determined that this international application contains multiple inventions or groups of inventions which are not linked by a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). These are as follows:

- I. Claim 1 (and the dependent claims appended to it)
- II. Claim 7 (and the dependent claims appended to it)

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006962

Supplemental Box

The concept linking these two groups is not novel (see points 2 and 3 above).

# 6. CLARITY

- 6.1 Claim 1 specifies a "tube", while claim 11 (which is dependent on claim 1) specifies a "solid profile". The embodiments described by these terms are mutually incompatible (PCT Article 6).
- 6.2 In this instance it is not permissible to have two independent device claims (claims 1 and 7) because they fail to meet the requirement of unity of invention (PCT Article 6).